

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
DAVID M. BALABANIAN (SBN 37368)
CHRISTOPHER B. HOCKETT (SBN 121539)
JOY K. FUYUNO (SBN 193890)
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
Telephone: (415) 393-2000
Facsimile: (415) 393-2286

Attorneys for Defendant
Intel Corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MICHAEL BRAUCH, a resident of San
Francisco, and ANDREW MEIMES, a resident
of New York, on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware
corporation,

Defendant.

No. C-05-2743-MHP

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER TO STAY DATES, EVENTS
AND DEADLINES PENDING THE
OUTCOME OF THE MOTION TO
TRANSFER AND COORDINATE OR
CONSOLIDATE PURSUANT TO
28 U.S.C. § 1407**

WHEREAS, on July 5, 2005, Plaintiffs filed the instant action in the Northern
District of California (“Brauch Action”);

WHEREAS, on or about July 11, 2005, Plaintiffs moved before the Judicial Panel
on Multi-District Litigation (“MDL”) to transfer and coordinate or consolidate for pre-trial
proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 407 (“MDL Motion”);

WHEREAS, on or about July 27, 2005, Judge Patel issued a Related Case Order relating this case to an earlier filed case assigned to her, and canceling or staying certain but not all dates, events and deadlines in the action;

WHEREAS, to date, a decision has not been rendered on the MDL Motion;

WHEREAS, the outcome of the MDL Motion will impact significantly the schedule of this case;

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, by and among counsel for Brauch Plaintiffs and counsel for Defendant Intel Corporation, that any events, dates or deadlines set by the Local Rules or Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including the Local Rules for Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR Local Rules”) and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26, and any deadlines established in any case management order applicable to this case should be stayed pending the outcome of the aforementioned MDL Motion; and

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED by the aforementioned parties that if a case management conference is rescheduled by the Court, the parties shall adjust the dates for any conference, disclosures or reports required by the Local Rules or Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including the ADR Local Rules and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26 accordingly.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED.

Dated: October 31, 2005

THE FURTH FIRM LLP

LAW OFFICES OF FRANCIS O.
SCARPULLA

ZELLE, HOFFMANN, VOELBEL, MASON
& GETTE LLP

By: /s/ Alex C. Turan
Alex C. Turan
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Michael Brauch and Andrew Meimes

1 Dated: October 31, 2005

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP

3 By: /s/ Joy K. Fuyuno
4 Joy K. Fuyuno
5 Attorneys for Defendant
6 Intel Corporation

7 **[PROPOSED] ORDER TO STAY DATES, EVENTS AND DEADLINES**
8 **PENDING THE OUTCOME OF THE MDL MOTION**

9 Any events, dates or deadlines set by the Local Rules or Federal Rules of Civil
10 Procedure, including the Local Rules for Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR Local Rules”)
11 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16 and 26, and any deadlines established in any case
12 management order applicable to this case are hereby stayed pending the outcome of the motion
13 to transfer and coordinate or consolidate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 (“MDL Motion”).

14 Upon the determination of the MDL Motion, if it is necessary for the Court to
15 reschedule a case management conference, the parties shall adjust the dates for any conference,
16 disclosures or reports required by the ADR Local Rules and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 16
17 and 26 accordingly.

18 The parties shall notify the Clerk of Court within 10 days of the decision on the
19 MDL Motion.

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 Dated: _____

22 Honorable Marilyn H. Patel
23 United States District Court Judge
24
25
26